LETTERS

PYRGHT

FOIAb3b

Thong Resuted

To the Chairman of the NEWS:

in the fast read the article of Huynh Sanh Thong in the 7 February issue of the Yale Daily NEWS entitled "Victnam Between The Red Devil and The Deep Blue 'C'". This article contains many serious errors and requires refutation which I, as one who has spent the past ten years in Victnam, am happy to provide.

Mr. Thong gives his faction of the Dai Viet party credit for installing General Nguyen Khanh as Prime Minister. From Paris this group fed Khanh informa-..on that the generals then in power were "pro-French neutralists," thus provoking Khanh to overthrow them. However, this "pro-French neutralist" charge has never been proven, and the generals involved were eventually released for lack of evidence. If Mr. Thong is pleased to credit the Southern Dai Viets for installing Khanh, he is hardly justified in blaming Khanh alone for all the subsequent "political instability marked by riots, coups and countercoups in 1964 and early 1965," especially since the Southern Dai Viets had positions of high official responsibility during part of 1984. Active in their political machinations, they reorganized their faction into a new group called the New Dai Viet Party while their leader, Nguyen Ton Hoan, was still Vice Prime Minister for Pacification, and they proceeded to place their followers in government jobs.

It is not true that Nguyen Cao Ky and Nguyen Van Thieu took over the government from Phan Huy Quat in order to "head off soundings for a (neutralist) settlement" of the war. This takeover came about as the result of a mounting feud between Quat and the Chief of State, Pham Khac Suu, who, under the pressure of Cochin Chinese politicians including Southern Dai Viets, had been attempting to undermine Quat. Quat asked the generals to resolve the foud, and they went one step further in taking over completely. Quat had made no attempt to sound out negotiations with the Communists and did not advocate a neutralist solution. If there are "reasons to believe that (President Johnson's peace) talks collapsed chiefly because Washington could not in time produce a government in Saigon to the liking of the Communists," those reasons are a mystery to everyone but Mr. Thong, for the US has given every appearance of trying to bolster the government of Prime Minister Ky.

Defeuds Porter, Langdalo

ar. Thong claims that Deputy Ambassador Wilam Porter and Senior Liaison Officer Edward Yang sale are masterminding a CIA plot to near the south Vietnam, behind the back of Ambresador lodge but presumably on orders from Washington. to cites at various places in his article the names of ficen Lansdale supporters who back him up in this lot. This is utter nonsense! If the Administration anted to neutralize South Vietnam, it would find it uch more convenient to say so publicly than to argue with its critics who favor such a solution. If he Porter-Lansdale "plot" is not on orders from Vashington, it is inconceivable that they could get ery far with it without having some responsible merican or Vietnamese official taking notice and pmplaining. As for the fifteen backers, at least half them, known to me personally, do not favor a neutralist solution in Victnam, and I have heard or ead nothing about the others to indicate their support of such a solution, including the published orks which Mr. Thong cites as supposedly promoting "an ambivalent formula of neo-colonialism and eutralism."

As for Lansdale's having engineered the replacement of General Tran Thien Khiem by Vu Van Thai as Ambassador to the United States, Mr. Thong igneres the fact that this change was pronosed by oreign Minister Tran Van Do long before Lansdale returned to Vietnam. Ambassador Thai's recent public statements certainly do not reveal him to be a yove." Mr. Thong's antipathy towards Ambassador Thai may be explained by the fact that Thai's predection of the property o

Mr. Thong's statements and charges cannot be attributed entirely to misiaformation, for his wild bests are too flimsy to be credible. In Vietnam, however, where people the more prone to believe more and gossip, it is this kind of preposterous caracter assassination that has made such a mess of politics. If fewer Vietnamese politicians would edisider themselves and their own limited groups as the sole candidates for "a truly national government" but rather join a common effort for a common cause, stable government could be achieved. It is hoped that the true nationalists — those in Vietnam who live with the problems of their country — are making progress towards this end.

C. R. Mellor, 1947